FORM NLRB-501 (11-88) FORM EXEMPT UNDER 44 U.S.C. 3512

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD CHARGE AGAINST EMPLOYER

	,	DO	NOT	WRI	TE	IN	THIS	SPACE	AND THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF
Case					Di	ate	Filed		CONTRACTOR STATE OF THE STATE O
									Fi .

File an original and 4 copies of this charge with NLRB Regional Director for the region in which the alleged unfair labor practice occurred or is

ccurring.		and the second in the state of the STOP SECOND STATE AND SECOND S	
1. EMPLOYER AC	GAINST WHOM CHARGE IS BROUGHT		
a. Name of Employer Freightliner Custom Chassis Consubsidiary of Daimler-Chrysler Corporation, Inc.	b. Number of workers employed Thousands; 540 in plant		
c. Address <i>(street, city, state, ZIP code)</i> Corp: Auburn Hills, MI 48326-2766 Plant: 552 Hyatt Street, Gaffney, SC 29341	d. Employer Representative Corp: Jurgen Schrempp Plant: Jack Conlan, Rainer E. Schmueckle	e. Telephone No. Corp.: (248) 576 5741 Plant: (864) 487-1700	
f. Type of establishment (factory, mine, wholesaler, etc.) Factory	g. Identify principal product or service Large vehicle manufacture		
h. The above-named employer has engaged in and is engagi and (list subsections) (2) and (3) and these unfair labor practices are unfair practices affect	of the	National Labor Relations Act,	
Basis of the Charge (set forth a clear and concise statement)	t of the facts constituting the alleged unfair labo	r practices)	
Injunctive Relief sought	under Section 10(j)		
SEE ATTACHE	ED PAGE		
•			
•			
By the above and other acts, the above-named employer ha			
3. Full name of party filing charge (if labor organization, giv David Roach	re full name, including local name and number)	
4a. Address (street and number, city, state and ZIP code)	(4b. Telephone No.	
5. Full name of national or international labor organization of by a labor organization)	of which it is an affiliate or constituent unit <i>(to</i>	o be filled in when charge is filed	
I declare that I have read the above charge and	6. DECLARATION that the statements are true to the best of	my knowledge and belief.	
Il un Tolle	Glenn M. Taubman	Attorney	
(signature of representative or person making charg Address National Right to Work Legal Suite 600, 8001 Braddock Rd., Spring	e) Def. Fdtn. (703) 321	(title or office, if any) -8510 08/07/03	

ULP CHARGE AGAINST EMPLOYER-§ 8(a)(1), (2) & (3)- INJUNCTION UNDER § 10(j)SOUGHT

- 1. Charging Party is employed by Freightliner Custom Chassis Corporation ("FCCC"), an indirect subsidiary of Daimler-Chrysler Corporation, Inc., within a proposed bargaining unit of approximately 540 employees. Freightliner/Daimler-Chrysler has signed a "neutrality and card check" agreement with the UAW union, covering FCCC and other facilities, which provides advantages to the UAW and makes it easier for the UAW to organize the employees at FCCC and other Freightliner LLC/Daimler-Chrysler subsidiaries.
- 2. In the face of the neutrality agreement which is designed to compel UAW unionization of the FCCC employees, approximately 375 FCCC employees (70% of the proposed unit) have signed a petition stating clearly that they reject, and do not want to be represented by, the UAW union.
- 3. Notwithstanding this overwhelming employee rejection of the UAW as their representative, the UAW and Daimler-Chrysler persist in enforcing their neutrality agreement at FCCC and in trying to foist this unwanted "company union" on the employees.
- 4. The FCCC workers have in the past received periodic wage increases, and were recently promised such a periodic wage increase by Freightliner officials. However, despite the employees' overwhelming rejection of the UAW as their bargaining representative, the UAW and Freightliner/Daimler-Chrysler are now engaged in "bargaining" over the wages of the FCCC employees, and FCCC has announced that it cannot give employees the raises they are due because the minority-union UAW has veto power over the employees' terms and conditions of employment as a result of the neutrality agreement, and the UAW union is, in fact, vetoing the raise. This "bargaining" by and with a minority union is blatantly unlawful. In short, FCCC employees have been and are being threatened that they will get no raises unless and until they agree to unionization by the "company union" known as the UAW. (Can it be doubted that the NLRB would find a violation of the Act if an employer told employees that the only way they will get raises is if they defeat a particular union, or bring in a particularly favored "company union?" See, e.g., Meyers Transport of New York, 338 NLRB No. 144 (2003) and cases cited infra; Aldworth Co., 338 NLRB No. 22 (2002)).
- 5. The UAW is using its power under the neutrality agreement, and its seat on the Supervisory Board of Daimler-Chrysler, in an illegitimate and coercive way, to hold hostage the raises of the FCCC employees, so that it can leverage its way into the Gaffney, S.C. plant against the employees' will. Even assuming, arguendo, that the UAW-Daimler-Chrysler "neutrality agreement" was valid when entered into, its use and enforcement now, in the face of clear opposition to the UAW by 70% of the effected employees, is blatantly unlawful and coercive.
- 6. These and related actions restrain and coerce employees in the exercise of their § 7 rights, illegally assist a labor union, and illegally interfere with the formation -- or rejection -- of a labor union among FCCC employees. Injunctive relief under § 10(j) is sought to restrain this and similar conduct by the UAW and Freightliner/Daimler-Chrysler. Such injunctive relief should: 1) order the UAW and Freightliner/Daimler-Chrysler to cease enforcing their anti-employee "neutrality" agreement; 2) cease threatening and coercing employees, and withholding their raises, in order to force them to accept unionization by an unwanted "company union"; and 3) stop this minority-union/"company union" from bargaining with Freightliner/Daimler-Chrysler over the wages and benefits to be paid to FCCC employees.