FORM NLRB-508 (6-90) FORM EXEMPT UNDER 44 U.S.C. 3512

## UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD

/ ; 4/ (L. L./ )				-	
CHAP	≀GE	AGA	INS	T	
ABOR	ORG	INAE	ZAT	10	N

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS SPACE					
Case	and the state of t	Date Filed			

INSTRUCTIONS: File an original and 4 copies of this charge and an additional copy for each organization, each local, and each individual named in the 1 with the NLRB Regional Director of the region in which the alleged unfair labor practice occurred or is occurring.

in Item 1 with the NI RR	Regional Director of the region in	which the alleged unfair labor practice	occurred or is occurring.
in item 1 with the NEAS	Hegiottal Director of the region in	AGENTS AGAINST WHICH CHARG	E IS BROUGHT
			b. Union Representative to contact
a. Name INTERNATIO	NAL UNION, UNITED AUTO	JIMOBILE &	RON GETTELFINGER, Pres.
AGRICULTURAL IMPLE	EMENT WORKERS OF AME	RICA (UAW), AFL-CIO	TOTA GETTEEN TROUBLY TIES.
c. Telephone No.	d. Address (street, city, state ar	nd ZIP code)	
C. Telephone 140:	a, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
(313) 036 5000	8000 East Jefferson, Detroit,	Michigan 482.14	
(313) 926-5000	8000 East Jefferson, Betrong	, 171101115411 1021 .	
e. The above-named organiza section 8(b), subsection(s)	tion(s) or its agents has <i>(have)</i> end (list subsections) (1) (A)	ngaged in and is <i>(are)</i> engaging in ui and (2)	nfair labor practices within the meaning of of the National Labor Relations Act.
and these unfair labor prac	ctices are unfair practices affectir	ng commerce within the meaning of	the Act.
		t of the facts constituting the allege	
		1 (0 / 10/)	
	Injunctive Relief sought	inder Section 10(j)	
	SEE ATTACHE	D PAGE	
•			
	•		
3 Name of Employer Freig	htliner Custom Chassis Corp.	, a subsidiary of Freightliner LI	C, 4. Telephone No. Corp. (248) 576
	Chrysler Corporation, Inc.	, -	5741; Plant (864) 487-1700
			C. Frankrise representative to contact
<ol><li>Location of plant involved</li></ol>	(street, city, state and ZIP code,		6. Employer representative to contact
Corp. Auburn Hills, MI	48326-2766 Plant: 552 Hyatt	Street, Gaffney, SC 29341	Corp: Jurgen Schrempp; Plant: Jack
1			Conlan, Rainer E. Schmueckle
7 T	ctory, mine, wholesaler, etc.)	8. Identify principal product or serv	ice 9. Number of workers employed
• •	Ctory, Tillie, Wholesaler, etc.)	Large vehicle manufacture	Thousands; 540 in the plant
Factory		Large venicle manufacture	Thousands, 5 to 111 the present
10. Full name of party filing	charge Mike Ivey		
10. Full Harris of party mining	,		
44 A Lily or of party filing o	harge (street, city, state and ZIP	codel	12. Telephone No.
11. Address of party filing c	marge istreet, city, state and Zir	20027	
A STATE OF THE STA	A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR		e a su prime de la companya del la companya de la c
		13. DECLARATION	the heat of my knowledge and helief
I declare that I have re	ead the above charge and that t	the statements therein are true to	the best of my knowledge and belief.
To w		lenn M. Taubman	Attorney
Ву			(title or office, if any)
laignature of representa-	tive or person making charge!		title of office, it dilly?
<i>(signature of representat</i> Address National Ri	tive or person making charge) ight to Work Legal	Def. Fdtn. (703 ingfield, VA 22160 <i>(T</i> 6	) 321-8510 8/07/03 Rephane No.) (date)

## ULP CHARGE AGAINST UNION-§ 8(b)(1)(A) and (2)- INJUNCTION UNDER § 10(j) SOUGHT

- 1. Charging Party is employed by Freightliner Custom Chassis Corporation ("FCCC"), an indirect subsidiary of Daimler-Chrysler Corporation, Inc., within a proposed bargaining unit of approximately 540 employees. Freightliner/Daimler-Chrysler has signed a "neutrality and card check" agreement with the UAW union, covering FCCC and other facilities, which provides advantages to the UAW and makes it easier for the UAW to organize the employees at FCCC and other Freightliner LLC/Daimler-Chrysler subsidiaries.
- 2. In the face of the neutrality agreement which is designed to compel UAW unionization of the FCCC employees, approximately 375 FCCC employees (70% of the proposed unit) have signed a petition stating clearly that they reject, and do not want to be represented by, the UAW union.
- 3. Notwithstanding this overwhelming employee rejection of the UAW as their representative, the UAW and Daimler-Chrysler persist in enforcing their neutrality agreement at FCCC and in trying to foist this unwanted "company union" on the employees.
- 4. The FCCC workers have in the past received periodic wage increases, and were recently promised such a periodic wage increase by Freightliner officials. However, despite the employees' overwhelming rejection of the UAW as their bargaining representative, the UAW and Freightliner/Daimler-Chrysler are now engaged in "bargaining" over the wages of the FCCC employees, and FCCC has announced that it cannot give employees the raises they are due because the minority-union UAW has veto power over the employees' terms and conditions of employment as a result of the neutrality agreement, and the UAW union is, in fact, vetoing the raise. This "bargaining" by and with a minority union is blatantly unlawful. In short, FCCC employees have been and are being threatened that they will get no raises unless and until they agree to unionization by the "company union" known as the UAW. (Can it be doubted that the NLRB would find a violation of the Act if an employer told employees that the only way they will get raises is if they defeat a particular union, or bring in a particularly favored "company union?" See, e.g., Meyers Transport of New York, 338 NLRB No. 144 (2003) and cases cited infra; Aldworth Co., 338 NLRB No. 22 (2002)).
- 5. The UAW is using its power under the neutrality agreement, and its seat on the Supervisory Board of Daimler-Chrysler, in an illegitimate and coercive way, to hold hostage the raises of the FCCC employees, so that it can leverage its way into the Gaffney, S.C. plant against the employees' will. Even assuming, arguendo, that the UAW-Daimler-Chrysler "neutrality agreement" was valid when entered into, its use and enforcement now, in the face of clear opposition to the UAW by 70% of the effected employees, is blatantly unlawful and coercive.
- 6. These and related actions restrain and coerce employees in the exercise of their § 7 rights, and in the exercise of their fundamental right under the Act to freely choose -- or reject -- their representative. Injunctive relief under § 10(j) is sought to restrain this and similar conduct by the UAW and Freightliner/Daimler-Chrysler. Such injunctive relief should: 1) order the UAW and Freightliner/Daimler-Chrysler to cease enforcing their anti-employee "neutrality" agreement; 2) cease threatening and coercing employees, and withholding their raises, in order to force them to accept unionization by an unwanted "company union"; and 3) stop this minority-union/"company union" from bargaining with Freightliner/Daimler-Chrysler over the wages and benefits to be paid to FCCC employees.